PHYLOGENETIC RELATIONSHIPS AND ECOLOGICAL SPECIATION IN THE MISTLETOE TRISTERIX (LORANTHACEAE): THE INFLUENCE OF POLLINATORS, DISPERVERS, AND HOSTS
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Phylogenies can provide valuable information on biotic and abiotic factors associated with speciation. We examined species relationships in Tristerix (Loranthaceae), a genus of 11 species with an Andean distribution from Colombia to Chile. A previous classification divided Tristerix into subgenera Tristerix (two species) and Metastachys (nine species). We tested this classification by generating a molecular phylogeny of the genus using nuclear ribosomal DNA ITS and chloroplast atpB-rbcL intergenic spacer and rnlF regions. All partitions generally gave congruent trees, thus a combined analysis was conducted. Tristerix was composed of a northern clade (six species) and a southern clade (four species). Tristerix verticillatus and T. penduliflorus (Metastachys) were strongly supported as members of the (southern) subgenus Tristerix clade. Speciation appears to be correlated with the emergence of maternal and cloud forest biomes and is driven by interactions with pollinators and seed dispersers. Tristerix aphyllus is sister to T. corymbosus of the matorial, not to neighboring temperate forest populations, thus rendering the latter species paraphyletic. This ecological speciation event may have occurred in sympathy. Tristerix provides excellent examples of how, during the orography of the Andes, many dynamic and interacting ecological factors have influenced their speciation.
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Advances in phylogenetic methods have led to rapid progress in addressing plant speciation such that students of this topic are no longer “evolutionary biologists’ poor cousins, doomed to eternal speculation about untestable theories” (Rieseberg and Wendel, 2004, p.3). Even if there is general acceptance that species are biologically real entities (Rieseberg et al., 2006), the mode in which speciation takes place remains controversial and poorly understood (Kirkpatrick and Ravigne, 2006). Mechanistic classifications of speciation modes include polyploidy, genetic drift, divergence under uniform selection, and ecological speciation (Schluter, 2005). The latter process, in which divergent selection acts on traits that represent adaptations to contrasting environments, can occur in allopatry or sympatry. Convincing evidence of sympatric speciation in plants was recently reported for oceanic palms (Savolainen et al., 2006), but questions remain as to whether or not this is an isolated example with little relevance to diversification of continental floras (Jiggins, 2006). Even in phytophagous insects, which represent the best cases of sympatric speciation in animals, discounting allopatric speciation is challenging (Berlocher and Feder, 2002).

The botanical equivalent of phytophagous insects are parasitic plants (Pennings and Callaway, 2002), particularly mistletoes that occur on aerial portions of woody hosts. Thus, mistletoes represent good candidates to further study modes of speciation. Although all mistletoes are found in the order Santalales (families Loranthaceae, Misodendraceae, Santalaceae, and Viscaceae), the term refers to a habit, not to a specific taxonomic group. Mistletoes experience particularly constrained life histories because of associations with other organisms in their environment (Watson, 2001; Aukema, 2003). Specifically, all mistletoes are primarily dependent upon their host plant, thus their distributions obligatorily track those of their hosts (Norton and Carpenter, 1998). Moreover, many loranthaceous mistletoes are highly adapted to either insect or bird pollination, and most are dispersed by animals, primarily birds (Amico and Aizen, 2000; Watson, 2001; Restrepo et al., 2002). These close coevolutionary relationships with animals have resulted in numerous modifications of mistletoe flower and fruit features (Kuijt, 1969; Reid, 1991).

The largest mistletoe family is Loranthaceae (73 genera, ca. 900 species), which includes root and stem parasitic plants. Root parasitism is found in three genera: <i>Nuytsia</i> of western Australia, <i>Atkinsonia</i> of eastern Australia, and <i>Gaiadendron</i> of the neotropics. Loranthaceae have reached their greatest degree of differentiation in the southern hemisphere and have long been considered a family of Gondwanan origin. Of the 16 loranth genera found in South America, <i>Gaiadendron</i>, <i>Desmarias</i>, <i>Ligaria</i>, <i>Notanthera</i>, and <i>Tristerix</i> are considered relictual based on their base chromosome numbers, low numbers of species, and restricted distributions (Barlow, 1983). According to the most recent taxonomic monograph of the genus (Kuijt, 1988), <i>Tristerix</i> consists of 11 species endemic to the Andes of South America. Distributions range from subparamo elevations in north-central Colombia to low
Tristerix corymbosus is found only in central Chile, and Tristerix aphyllus is restricted to the Cordillera Occidental of Colombia. Only three species have wide distributions: T. longebracteatus in northern South America (from central Colombia to central Peru, Table 1) and T. verticillatus and T. corymbosus in southern South America. Tristerix verticillatus is found from southern Bolivia to the eastern slopes of the Andes in Argentina and central Chile. Tristerix corymbosus is found along the western slopes of the Andes in Chile and in Argentina northwest of Patagonia. All but three species of Tristerix are sympatric with at least one other species (Table 1).

Most Tristerix species have wide host ranges, except for T. aphyllus, that only parasitizes the cacti Echinopsis (ex Trichocereus) and Eulychnia (Mauseth et al., 1984; Kuijt, 1988). The other host-specific species is T. chodatianus of Peru, that occurs only on Polylepis (Rosaceae). Although the relationship is not obligate, T. verticillatus and T. penduliflorus are frequently found on Schinus (Anacardiaceae).

Tristerix can be distinguished from other South American Loranthaceae by the presence of simple, terminal, racemose inflorescences with large, brilliant red or red and yellow flowers. These are tetrameraous or pentameraous and the stamens are isomorphic with versatile anthers. Among the five relictual South American genera, only Tristerix and Ligaria lack epicortical roots. Only Tristerix has undergone a radiation into multiple species; the other four genera are monospecific. Tristerix also differs from these four genera, which are restricted to temperate or high altitude biomes, in that it also occurs in tropical areas (Table 1). In his revision, which included descriptions of three new species, Kuijt (1988) divided the genus into two subgenera, Tristerix (T. aphyllus and T. corymbosus) and Metastachys (the remaining nine species). This classification was based mainly in the number of petals and the presence or absence of bracteoles. The objective of the present study is to test this subgeneric classification by reconstructing the phylogeny of the genus using molecular markers—the first phylogeny for any genus in Loranthaceae. Moreover, we will use the molecular phylogeny to address the distribution of various morphological characters and life history features, particularly with respect to how abiotic and biotic factors have influenced speciation in the genus.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Taxon sampling and molecular methods**—Ten of the 11 species of Tristerix were sampled for this study (Fig. 1, Table 2); only T. secundus of Colombia was not obtained. Individuals from different populations were used to test for monophyly in seven species. For two accessions of T. grandiflorus, the atpB-rbcL spacer was not obtained. For one accession of T. aphyllus and one of T. penduliflorus, ITS sequences are lacking. Ligaria cuneifolia (Ruiz & Pav.) Tiegh. and Notanthera heterophylla (Ruiz & Pav.) G. Don were also sampled and used as outgroups.

DNA was extracted from silica-dried leaf or flower tissue or in some cases herbarium samples, following a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol for high-carbohydrate plants (Tel-Zur et al., 1999). One-tenth dilutions of the genomic DNA were used for PCR amplifications for the three gene partitions. Typical PCR amplification reactions included: 1× buffer (Promega, Madison, Wisconsin, USA; 10 mmol/L Tris HCl, 50 mmol/L KCl, 559

### Table 1. Latitudinal and elevational distribution of Tristerix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tristerix species</th>
<th>Northern limit</th>
<th>Southern limit</th>
<th>Altitudinal range (m)</th>
<th>Sympaty*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T. secundus (Benth.) Kuijt</td>
<td>5°30' N</td>
<td>2°50' N</td>
<td>3000–3900</td>
<td>A, B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. longebracteatus (Desr.) Barlow &amp; Wiens</td>
<td>4°30' N</td>
<td>8°25' S</td>
<td>2000–4900</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. grandiflorus (Ruiz &amp; Pav.) Barlow &amp; Wiens</td>
<td>0°10' N</td>
<td>7°30' S</td>
<td>2400–3600</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. pubescens Kuijt</td>
<td>7°45' S</td>
<td>11°25' S</td>
<td>3200–4200</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. peruvianus (Patshovsky) Kuijt</td>
<td>8°50' S</td>
<td>10°20' S</td>
<td>1800–3400</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. chodatianus (Patshovsky) Kuijt</td>
<td>8°35' S</td>
<td>10°35' S</td>
<td>3800–5000</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. peytonii Kuijt</td>
<td>13°10' S</td>
<td>13°30' S</td>
<td>1200–4300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. penduliflorus Kuijt</td>
<td>13°25' S</td>
<td>21°30' S</td>
<td>1200–4300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. verticillatus (Ruiz &amp; Pav.) Barlow &amp; Wiens</td>
<td>19°30' S</td>
<td>38°15' S</td>
<td>50–3000</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. aphyllus (Miers ex DC.) Barlow &amp; Wiens</td>
<td>27°00' S</td>
<td>34°20' S</td>
<td>0–1500</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. corymbosus (L.) Kuijt</td>
<td>30°30' S</td>
<td>42°40' S</td>
<td>0–2400</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The same letter indicates sympatric species.
### Table 2. Species and accessions sequenced in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Pop. Abb.</th>
<th>Voucher</th>
<th>Herbarium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix aphyllus</em></td>
<td>Near Fray Jorge Natn. Park, Region IV, Chile</td>
<td>FJ</td>
<td>G. Amico 97</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix aphyllus</em></td>
<td>Chinchillas Natn. Park, Region IV, Chile</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>L. Suarez S/N</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix aphyllus</em></td>
<td>Los Andes, Region V, Chile</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>G. Amico 166</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix aphyllus</em></td>
<td>Near La Campana Natn. Park, Region V, Chile</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>G. Amico 80</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix corymbosus</em></td>
<td>Near Chinchillas Natn. Park, Region IV, Chile</td>
<td>CH</td>
<td>G. Amico 80</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix corymbosus</em></td>
<td>Talca, Region VII, Chile</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>G. Amico 83</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix corymbosus</em></td>
<td>Llao Llao, Rio Negro, Argentina</td>
<td>LL</td>
<td>G. Amico 84</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix corymbosus</em></td>
<td>Near Linao, Chiloe, Region X, Chile</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>G. Amico 96</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix chodatianus</em></td>
<td>Huascaranc Nat. Park, Ancash, Peru</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>D. N. Smith et al. 9356</td>
<td>MO 3337838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix grandiflorus</em></td>
<td>Santo Domingo de los Colorados, Ecuador</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>V. Zar 1521</td>
<td>MO 3907304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix grandiflorus</em></td>
<td>Cotopaxi, Ecuador</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>W. Palacios et al. 2547</td>
<td>MO 3672311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix longebracteatus</em></td>
<td>Quito, Pichincha, Ecuador</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>D. Fernández et al. 371</td>
<td>MO 5892697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix longebracteatus</em></td>
<td>Chacas, Ancash, Peru</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>D. N. Smith 8288</td>
<td>MO 722877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix penduliflorus</em></td>
<td>Urubamba, Cusco, Peru</td>
<td>IN</td>
<td>M. Lewis 35236</td>
<td>MO 4661844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix penduliflorus</em></td>
<td>Urubamba, Cusco, Peru</td>
<td>MA</td>
<td>P. Nunez 8288</td>
<td>MO 720879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix peruvianus</em></td>
<td>Road to Cochabamba, Huaraz, Peru</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>D. N. Smith &amp; M. Buddensie 10585</td>
<td>MO 3307944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix peruytina</em></td>
<td>Urubamba, Cusco, Peru</td>
<td>CZ</td>
<td>P. Nunez &amp; J. Arge 8287</td>
<td>MO 3632650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix pubescens</em></td>
<td>Huascaranc Nat. Park, Ancash, Peru</td>
<td>HU</td>
<td>D. N. Smith &amp; M. Buddensie 11090</td>
<td>MO 3302686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix pubescens</em></td>
<td>Huascaranc Nat. Park, Ancash, Peru</td>
<td>HU2</td>
<td>D. N. Smith 11388</td>
<td>MO 3337890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix verticillatus</em></td>
<td>La Calera, Region V, Chile</td>
<td>CM</td>
<td>G. Amico 158</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix verticillatus</em></td>
<td>Guardia Vieja, Region V, Chile</td>
<td>GV</td>
<td>G. Amico 159</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix verticillata</em></td>
<td>Polvarades, Mendoza, Argentina</td>
<td>PO</td>
<td>G. Amico 156</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tristerix verticillata</em></td>
<td>Neart Antuco, Region VII, Chile</td>
<td>AN</td>
<td>G. Amico 154</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Notanthera heterophylla</em></td>
<td>Los Queles Nat. Park, Region VIII, Chile</td>
<td>G. Amico 151</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ligaria canefolia</em></td>
<td>Km 51, Route 5 North, Santiago, Region V, Chile</td>
<td>G. Amico 145</td>
<td>BCRU a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Voucher number to be assigned

pH 8.3), 1.5 mmol/L MgCl₂, 50 μmol/L dNTPs, 1 unit Taq polymerase, 0.4 μmol/L of each primer, and ca. 30 ng genomic DNA. For ITS (nuclear internal transcribed spacer and 5.8S ribosomal DNA) amplifications, 5% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO, final concentration) was added. Ready-to-Go PCR Beads (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA) were utilized for some problematic PCR reactions.

The ITS region was amplified and sequenced using the primer pair 18S 1830 forward (5'-AAC AAG GGT TCC GTA GGT GA-3') and 265 40 reverse (5'-TCC TCC TCC TAT TGA TAT GC-3'). In addition, two regions from the chloroplast genome were amplified and sequenced: the spacer between the genes atpB and rbcL, using the primer pair atpB 1 reverse (5'-GAA GTA GTA -3') and rbcL 60 reverse (5'-CAG GAG TAT AAT AAG TCA TTG-3') and the trnL-F region (including the trnL intron) using the two primers described in Taberlet et al. (1991).

The PCR thermal cycle profile for ITS was 5 min at 95°C, 35 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 52, and 1 min at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. For the chloroplast regions, a touch down profile was used consisting of 5 min at 95°C, 5 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 52°C, and 1 min at 72°C, followed by 33 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 48°C, and 1 min at 72°C, with a final extension of 10 min at 72°C. In all reactions negative controls, lacking genomic DNA, were run to check for DNA contamination. Cycle sequencing reactions were performed directly on the purified PCR products following standard protocols using BigDye terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit with AmpliTaq DNA Polymerase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) with Better Buffer (The Gel Co., San Francisco, California, USA). Sequences were determined with an ABI 377 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems). All sequences generated in this study have been deposited with NCBI GenBank under the following accession numbers: DQ442916 to DQ442986.

**Phylogenetic analyses**—Because PCR amplifications were problematic for several DNA samples obtained from herbarium specimens, taxon sampling between the ITS and chloroplast data sets was not identical. Individual sequences were aligned manually using BioEdit (Hall, 1999), PAUP* (Swofford, 2002) was used to conduct maximum parsimony (MP) analyses; the branch-and-bound search option was used for all separate and combined partitions. Nodal support was assessed using the nonparametric bootstrap (BS) (Felsenstein 1985) with 1000 pseudoreplicates using a heuristic search with the tree-bisection-and-reconnection option for branch swapping. Indels in the ITS data set did not provide any phylogenetic information and were treated as missing data. In contrast, indels were informative for the chloroplast partitions and thus were manually coded as present or absent. Gaps were considered homologous only when they shared identical boundaries and length. Insertions generally consisted of tandem duplications and only those of the same length were used in gap coding. Moreover, only those gaps shared with the ingroup were coded for the outgroup. A total of 27 indels were coded for the atpB-rbcL, and 11 for the trnL-F partitions. All alignments used in this study can be found at http://www.parasiticplants.siu.edu/Alignments/Alignments.html.

Models of sequence evolution for each of the partitions and for all combined data sets were determined using MrModeltest (Nylander, 2004). The selected model was used to perform maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) analyses. For the nuclear partition, the HKY85+I+Γ model (Hasegawa, Kishino and Yano, 1985) was selected, whereas for the chloroplast partition the GTR+Γ (General Time Reversible model, Tavaré, 1986) was used. When all three partitions were combined the selected model was GTR+Γ. Maximum likelihood analysis was performed using PAUP*, and nodal support was obtained using the nonparametric bootstrap with 100 pseudoreplicates. Bayesian inference was performed using MrBayes (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001) wherein two independent analyses were run with four chains each, for 5 × 10⁶ generations. Trees and parameters were saved every 100 generations, producing 50,000 trees. Starting model parameters were assigned uniform prior probabilities and estimated as part of the analysis, but in cases in which more than one partition was analyzed, the estimates were unlinked between data partitions, thus allowing each to vary independently. The burn-in was determined by stationary in the −ln likelihood score. The split frequency (variance between the two independent runs) in all cases was below 0.001, thus confirming that sampling was from the posterior probability distribution. In the case of the chloroplast partition in which gaps were coded, a mixed matrix was used as an input file in MrBayes. Here the nucleotide sequences were analyzed with the selected model, whereas the gaps were treated as restriction site data.

Eleven discrete morphological characters were scored for each species using data from Kuitj (1988) and from direct observation of living plants and herbarium specimens. Morphological character state transformations were optimized using MacClade (Maddison and Maddison, 2000) (see Appendix S1). Photographs of eight of the 11 species of *Tristeris* can be found on the Parasitic Plant Connection web site (Nickrent, 1997 onward).
Fig. 2. Comparison of topologies obtained from maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML), and Bayesian inference (BI) analyses of chloroplast and nuclear data partitions. Numbers above lines are MP bootstrap values (1000 pseudoreplicates) and ML bootstrap values (100 pseudoreplicates). Numbers below the lines are BI posterior probabilities. (A) Strict consensus of 18 equally parsimonious trees ($L = 383$) obtained from an analysis of the chloroplast partition (atpB-rbcL intergenic spacer plus trnL-F). (B) Strict consensus of eight equally parsimonious trees ($L = 269$) obtained from an analysis of the nuclear (ITS) partition.

RESULTS

The ITS partition had 695 aligned positions and contained essentially no ambiguous positions. Including the outgroup, this partition had 178 variable sites, of which 74 were parsimony informative, whereas 44 of the 122 variable sites were parsimony informative for the ingroup alone. Maximum parsimony analysis resulted in eight equally parsimonious trees ($L = 269$). Double peaks at several sites in the sequence electropherograms were found in different accessions of *Tristerix aphyllus* and *T. corymbosus*. A total of 16 polymorphic sites were found, and these were coded as ambiguous. Five of these sites were polymorphic in all individuals of *T. corymbosus* and *T. aphyllus*.

With the outgroup included, the atpB-rbcL intergenic spacer had 899 aligned positions with 155 variable sites, of which 70 were parsimony informative. Considering just the ingroup, the number of variable sites dropped to 93, of which 46 were parsimony informative. The trnL-F region had 720 aligned positions with 133 variable sites, of which 65 were parsimony informative. The ingroup had 83 variable sites for this region, of which 45 were parsimony informative.

All analytical methods (MP, ML, and BI) of both chloroplast and nuclear partitions support the monophyly of *T. verticillatus*. Strong support for the paraphyly of *T. corymbosus* is only seen with the chloroplast genes (Fig. 2) and in the combined analysis (Fig. 3). The nuclear partition does not resolve the relationship between *T. corymbosus* populations and other Tristerix species.

All partitions and analyses strongly supported an ingroup composed of two distinct clades. The first (northern) clade is composed of six species from Peru, Ecuador, and Colombia: *T. chodatianus*, *T. grandiflorus*, *T. longebracteatus*, *T. peruvianus*, *T. peytonii*, and *T. pubescens*. Within this northern clade, two Peruvian endemics (*T. chodatianus* and *T. peruvianus*) form a strongly supported clade that is sister to the remaining four species, but relationships among these four species are not strongly supported. Although the chloroplast genes gave moderate support for a clade composed of *T. grandiflorus* and *T. longebracteatus*, nuclear ITS failed to resolve this clade. Possibly BS support for this relationship would increase if an atpB-rbcL intergenic spacer sequence could be obtained from *T. grandiflorus*. The two disjunct populations of *T. longebracteatus* show affinity but do not form a clade with either the chloroplast or nuclear partitions. The second (southern) clade is composed of two strongly supported clades, each with two species: *T. aphyllus* plus *T. corymbosus* and *T. penduliflorus* plus *T. verticillatus*. With the exception of *T. penduliflorus* of southern Peru and Bolivia, all have distributions in southern South America (mainly Chile and Argentina). Here, the strongest phylogenetic signal for these relationships derive from the ITS partition, as the chloroplast genes fail to distinguish the latter clade (Fig. 2). Both the chloroplast and nuclear gene partitions support the monophyly of *T. verticillatus* (four populations). In *T. corymbosus*, the chloroplast partition shows that two populations with an extreme southern distribution (LI and LL) are sister to two northern populations, as well as a clade comprising all four populations of *T. aphyllus*. Strong support for the paraply of *T. corymbosus* is only seen with the chloroplast genes (Fig. 2) and in the combined analysis (Fig. 3). The nuclear partition does not resolve the relationship between *T. corymbosus* populations...
and *T. aphyllus*; however, it does support a clade formed by both species.

**DISCUSSION**

Results of this molecular phylogenetic study of *Tristerix* provide, for the first time, compelling evidence that can be used to generate a new classification of the genus as well as data useful in addressing the evolution of morphological features and life history traits. As will be highlighted, this study also provides an example in which the phylogenetic structure of populations brings to the fore issues such as paraphyletic species and sympatric speciation.

**Classification**—Our results clearly differentiate two major clades within *Tristerix*, one composed of six species with northern distributions (Colombia, Peru, and Ecuador) and another with four species from more southern regions in South America (southern Peru and Bolivia, Chile, and Argentina). The differentiation of these two clades is well supported by both nuclear and chloroplast genes in the following methods. These clades do not conform to the previously proposed subgeneric classification by Kuijt (1988) but appear to correlate more with geographic distribution (Fig. 1, Table 1). Two features of the Kuijt (1988) classification appear valid, i.e., the recognition of a close relationship between *T. corymbosus* and *T. aphyllus* (subg. *Tristerix*) and the affinity of seven of the nine remaining subg. *Metastachys* species. Molecular phylogenetic analyses strongly support the transfer of two species, *T. verticillatus* and *T. penduliflorus*, from subg. *Metastachys* to subg. *Tristerix*. Given its morphology and geographical distribution, we can assume that *T. secundus* (not sampled in this study) is also a member of *Metastachys*.

**Paraphyletic species**—We do not advocate changing the current circumscriptions for any *Tristerix* species, although adherence to one of several competing species concepts might compell us to do so. Two cases of nonmonophyly for the chloroplast genome were seen: *T. longebracteatus* and *T. corymbosus*. For the former, the two accessions sampled were unresolved relative to the *T. grandiflorus* clade; however, this likely stems more from lack of data (missing *atpB-rbcL* spacer sequences) than from an actual genetic basis. The tree shown in Fig. 3 indicates that the three populations of *T. aphyllus* represent an “apopsies” and that the four populations of *T. corymbosus* could be considered a “metaspecies” (sensu Donahue, 1985; De Queiroz and Donoghue, 1988). But the data presented herein show that *T. corymbosus* is “positively paraphyletic.” Specifically, the chloroplast gene tree strongly supports a topology in which *T. aphyllus* is more closely related to the *T. grandiflorus* clade; however, this is likely stems more from lack of data (missing *atpB-rbcL* spacer sequences) than from an actual genetic basis. The tree shown in Fig. 3 indicates that the three populations of *T. aphyllus* are polymorphic for several features among populations. This simplified tree (Fig. 4) was then used to explore the evolution of 11 morphological characters as well as associated biomes and pollinators.

*Morphological character evolution*—Using the combined data, the topology of the most likely Bayesian tree was simplified by reducing most species to a single terminal; the exceptions were *T. corymbosus* and *T. verticillatus*, that were polymorphic for several features among populations. This simplified tree (Fig. 4) was then used to explore the evolution of 11 morphological characters as well as associated biomes and pollinators.

*Tristerix* species in the southern clade have uniformly red corollas, with the exception of *T. penduliflorus* that has a red corolla with a yellow apical region. Although bicolored, this flower has a different pattern than the northern clade taxa that predominantly show the red-yellow-red banded pattern. The exception to this is *T. peruvianus*, that either retains the uniformly red flower or has lost the central yellow band. Thus, character 10 provides the only morphological feature that tracks the separation of the highly supported northern and southern clades of *Tristerix*.

Character 1 (haustorial endophyte) appears to be a synapomorphy for *Tristerix*. The two outgroup genera have localized infections, whereas members of the southern clade all spread within the host cortex, thus forming new shoots at regions distal to the original infection. Unfortunately, data on the endophyte behavior is lacking for the northern clade, except *T. longebracteatus*. The occurrence of this state in this one taxon results in a most parsimonious reconstruction wherein it is present in all members of the northern clade. Further observations are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

We have not identified any morphological features that support the clade composed of all southern taxa, despite the strong support this clade obtained from molecular data (Fig. 3). Within this clade, the close relationship between *Tristerix aphyllus* and *T. corymbosus* is supported by two morphological characters that distinguish them from the rest of the species: the presence of bracteoles subtending each flower (character 5) and four petals (character 8). Indeed it was these two features that lead Kuijt (1988) to segregate them in subgenus *Tristerix*. The inclusion of *T. verticillatus* and *T. penduliflorus* with the southern clade taxa is strongly supported. Given its inflorescence structure (flowers in whorls), pollinators, and distribution, *T. penduliflorus* would most certainly be placed with the northern clade taxa, but this conflicts with the molecular data
(nuclear and combined analyses) that strongly support its position as sister to *T. verticillatus* of the southern clade.

As mentioned, the northern clade is supported by the presence of red-yellow-red banded flowers. *Tristerix peruvianus* was described by Kuijt (1988) as having fenestrated flower buds, and he postulated that this is unique among *Tristerix* species. This feature, apparent during floral bud maturation, is recognized by the separation of the petals from one another approximately midway along the length of the corolla tube, thereby forming elongated vents. We found that *T. pubescens*, *T. longebracteatus*, and possibly *T. chodatianus* also have fenestrated floral buds. More field observations are necessary, but it is possible that this character is an additional synapomorphy for the northern clade.

Molecular data strongly support a clade composed of *Tristerix longebracteatus*, *T. grandiflorus*, *T. peytonii*, and *T. pubescens*, and this clade is marked by one synapomorphy, a foliaceous bract below the flower (character 6). These four
closely related species are distinguished mainly by fruit color and the size and shape of the flower. In the combined data tree, no support was obtained for within-clade relationships (Fig. 3), thus the homologous condition for the two characters states shared by T. grandiflorus and T. peytonii (character 7, floral bud >8 cm and character 9, flower curved) cannot be determined. If one looks at the three possible alternative resolutions of the polytomy, then two favor parallelism and the other is uncertain, i.e., it is equally parsimonious to invoke parallelism or homology. On the other hand, when optimized for the most likely tree topology from the combined analysis, parallel evolution for these characters is suggested (Fig. 4). The four species in this clade show continuous interspecific variation in pubescence and intraspecific polymorphism in leaf shape, thus highlighting their close relationship (see Kuijt, 1988, p. 35). Taken together, these data suggest that this species complex has diverged only relatively recently, in agreement with geological data that indicate that high Andean habitats have been available for colonization only since the end of the Tertiary (Simpson, 1975). This also parallels other neotropical taxa such as Inga, in which diversification has been recent and is associated with Andean orogeny (Richardson et al., 2001).

Well supported by molecular data is the sister relationship between the T. chodatianus and T. peruvianus clade and the remaining members of the northern clade. These two species both have red fruits and may share an additional synapomorphy: a prominent calyculus that spreads when dried. Kuijt (1988) states that T. peruvianus is similar to T. secundus (not sampled in this study) but differs by having smaller, thinner, and more glaucous leaves. Tristerix chodatianus shares with these two species the characteristic of flowers occurring in whorls of three or four, but as T. peytonii and T. penduliflorus also share this feature, it does not appear to be diagnostic for this clade.

Tristerix chodatianus has a distinctive leaf that bears a sclerotic tip (character 4). Strangely, a similar leaf tip is seen in Ligaria cuneifolia (used here as an outgroup), but not in any other taxa. Ligaria is polymorphic for this feature; in some individuals it is prominent, whereas in others it is inconspicuous. If the character is homologous, then at least three losses are required to explain its distribution on the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 4); thus parallelism is a more parsimonious scenario for this character.

Pollination syndromes and speciation in Tristerix—Among the South American extant relictual mistletoe genera, only Tristerix and Ligaria, with their large tubular red flowers, are bird pollinated (Kuijt, 1988; Rivera et al., 1996; Medel, 2002; Aizen, 2003). The other genera (Notanthera, Desmaria, and Gaitadendron) have small, light-colored (pink, orange, and yellow) flowers that are pollinated mainly by insects (Kuijt, 1963, 1985; G. C. Amico, unpublished data). Although all these genera have similar geographic distributions, Tristerix and Ligaria flower during winter, whereas the other genera flower in summer. The evolution of cold-season flowering may be related to the ability of homothermous birds to function as pollinators during both winter and summer, as opposed to poikilothermous insects that can only function during the summer.

Hummingbirds are most diverse in the Andes, and several species occur along the northern range of Tristerix at elevations as high as 5000 m a.s.l. (Fjeldsa and Krabbe, 1990; Altshuler et al., 2004). To date there is no published information on hummingbird pollination of Tristerix from the high Andes. We observed this in the southern part of their distribution, where the monochromatic red flowers of Tristerix species are pollinated solely by hummingbirds (mainly two species: Sephanoides sephaniodes and Patagonas gigas). In the northern part of their range, Tristerix species are pollinated by both hummingbirds and flower-piercers. At two localities in Peru (Huascaran National Park and Cuzco), we observed Colibri coruscans pollinating T. pubescens and T. penduliflorus (the latter species was also visited by Lesbia nuna). These mistletoes were also visited by the flower-piercer Diglossa brunneiventris, a species documented as the pollinator of T. longebracteatus in northern Peru (Graves, 1982). The genus Diglossa, with 16 primarily high-elevation Andean species, has a distribution that coincides with that of Tristerix (Fjeldsa and Krabbe, 1990). These birds are generally considered nectar robbers, but they also function as authentic pollinators of several plants, including Tristerix (Graves, 1982; Maloof and Inouye, 2000). Eight of the 11 species of Tristerix overlap with the distribution of Diglossa spp., and all these species have red-yellow-red bicolored flowers (Fig. 4). As discussed, some of the northern clade Tristerix have fenestrated flowers, and all but T. peruvianus also have the bicolored corollas. We suggest that these function as floral guides and are recognized by Diglossa spp. that open the flower at the fenestration, thereby affecting pollination. Although pollinated by Diglossa, the floral banding pattern in T. penduliflorus is different (red-yellow), and this species does not show fenestrae, thus suggesting an independent evolution of the yellow floral guide.

Two species of Tristerix have colonized cloud forests: Tristerix peytonii of southern Peru and T. grandiflorus in northern Peru and Ecuador (Fig. 4, Table 1). It is noteworthy that these species have the longest corolla tubes among all species in the genus. For T. grandiflorus, flowers may be up to 16 cm long, thus suggesting directional selection being driven by the pollinating bird. Kuijt (1988) hypothesized that the distinctive flowers of T. grandiflorus are associated with the cloud forest hummingbird Ensifera ensifera, and this correlates well with its beak length (10 cm).

Seed dispersal in Tristerix—Relatively few studies have been carried out on seed dispersal in Tristerix, but both mammals (Dromiciops, discussed later) and birds are involved (Parker, 1980; Amico and Aizen, 2000; Medel et al., 2004). The Gondwanan families Contingidae and Tyrannidae represent the oldest bird lineages in South America and were present there prior to 40 million years before present (mybp; Ericson et al., 2003; Barker et al., 2004). Tristerix chodatianus and T. peruvianus of central Peru are dispersed by the cotinga, Zartorius stresmanni (Parker, 1980), whereas T. aphyllus, T. corymbosus, and T. verticillatus of Chile are dispersed primarily by the mockingbird Mimus thyca (Mimidae) and secondarily by the flycatcher Elaenia albiceps and a thrush Turdus falklandii. There is no information on the seed dispersal of the other six Tristerix species, but based on their distributions, the bird families Contingidae, Tyrannidae, and Turdidae are the most likely candidates. Turdidae and Mimidae have a Laurasian origin and appeared on the South American continent much later (2–5 mybp) than Contingidae and Tyrannidae (Ericson et al., 2003 and references therein). We therefore suggest that the early ancestors of Tristerix were
dispersed by Cotingidae, Tyrannidae, and Dromiciops and more recently by Turdidae and Mimidae.

In the temperate forests of Chile and Argentina, seeds of *T. corymbosus* are dispersed by *Dromiciops gliroides*, an old marsupial lineage with a Gondwanan origin (Amico and Aizen, 2000), which feeds on the ripe green fruits. Fruits are yellow in the matorral populations of *T. corymbosus*, and seeds are dispersed by birds (Hoffmann et al., 1986; G. C. Amico, unpublished data). Seeds from the sympatric species *T. aphyllus* with white fruits are dispersed by the same bird (Medel et al., 2002). The topology of the molecular tree (Fig. 3) indicates that the temperate forest populations (LL and LI) diverged first and that the matorral populations (CH and TA) are more derived. Optimization of fruit color on the molecular tree does not help to identify an ancestral state because reconstruction is equivocal; however, we hypothesize that the green color found in the forest populations results from heterochrony in fruit development and not to selection pressure from the different dispersers (G. C. Amico, unpublished manuscript).

**Did *Tristerix aphyllus* arise via sympatric speciation?**—It has been proposed that host races of phytophagous insects represent an intermediate stage between polymorphic populations and reproductively isolated species (Berlocher and Feder, 2002; Drés and Mallet, 2002). According to Drés and Mallet (2002, p. 471), “Host races are genetically differentiated, sympatric populations of parasites that use different hosts, and between which there is appreciable gene flow.” Host races have been described numerous times for mistletoes based on seed inoculation experiments (Clay et al., 1985), allozyme studies (Glawner et al., 1988; Nickrent and Stell, 1990), and AFLPs (Jerome and Ford, 2002); however, despite implications that this process leads to speciation (Norton and Carpenter, 1998), robust documentation is lacking. Although objections to sympatric speciation have been voiced (Futuyma and Mayer, 1980; Felsenstein, 1981), theoretical studies show that this process is plausible under real biological conditions such as when host-specific deleterious and beneficial mutations exist (Kawecki, 1996, 1997) and when genes control assortative mating (Johnson et al., 1996; Dieckmann and Doebeli, 1999).

In addition, pleiotropy between host choice and assortative mating is a likely route toward the evolution of linkage disequilibrium that leads to distinct genetic entities (Drés and Mallet, 2002).

The morphological and genetic differences provide strong evidence that *T. aphyllus* is a species distinct from *T. corymbosus*. Among Loranthaceae, *Tristerix aphyllus* is unique because it exists as an endophyte within the cactus cortex and its only emergent parts are inflorescences (Mauseth et al., 1984, 1985). This extreme reduction in vegetative morphology and dependence upon the host for most carbon (Kraus et al., 1995) parallels other mistletoes that approach holoparasitism, such as *Arceuthobium* (Viscaceae). Given the topology of the molecular tree (Fig. 3), reciprocal monophyly for the two species is not met. Aside from the classification issues this topology presents (Kizirian and Donnelly, 2004), it also shows that *T. aphyllus* is derived from matorral populations (CH and TA) of *T. corymbosus*. The Chilean matorral formed during the Pliocene-Pleistocene (Hinojosa and Villagran, 1997), i.e., relatively recently compared with the high-elevation Andean forests that began in the Miocene (Taylor, 1991). We propose that the emergence of this new biome brought the ancestral *Tristerix* into contact with new host niches, specifically the cactus genera *Echinopsis* and *Eulychnia*. The model of sympatric speciation developed by Dieckmann and Doebeli (1999) performs best in recently colonized habitats where no competition exists with other sympatric species, as is the case with the Chilean matorral. With phytophagous insects, mating on the host is the most straightforward path by which host shifts can reduce gene flow (Bush, 1969). In *Tristerix* mistletoes, such host fidelity is attained via the behavior of the seed-dispersing bird (*Monus thenea*) that visits both species and prefers high perches (Martinez del Rio et al., 1995; Medel et al., 2002, 2004). This behavior results in more seeds being deposited on cactus hosts (the highest vegetation in the area) than on other hosts. We suggest that, over time, pleiotropy between host choice and assortative mating genes lead to linkage disequilibrium and genetic isolation of the two mistletoe species. At present, peak flowering times overlap only slightly between *T. aphyllus* and *T. corymbosus*, thus limiting interspecific pollen transfer. The two species appear reproductively isolated and artificial inoculations of *T. corymbosus* seeds onto cactus hosts are not successful (W. Gonzalez, personal communication). Peak fruiting periods are also different, thus reinforcing host specialization. Although we cannot completely discount an allopatric origin of *Tristerix aphyllus*, we feel these initial data are compelling and set the stage for further work.

**Conclusions**—To reconstruct the complex historical patterns and relationships among organisms, information from both ecology and phylogenetics is mutually informative. *Tristerix* provides an example of a genus that expanded both north and south while diversifying in response to ecological and geographical isolation coinciding with Andean orography. Although we have not established an absolute time scale for these divergences (no fossil record of *Tristerix* exists), many appear to be recent events as gauged by low levels of molecular divergence between various species pairs. Overall, we have shown that *Tristerix* is composed of northern and a southern clades. The molecular data strongly support the transfer of *Tristerix verticillatus* and *T. penduliflorus* from subgenus *Metastachys* to subg. *Tristerix*. This is despite the presence in the latter species of floral and fruit characteristics that would suggest an alliance with the northern clade. Selectional forces acting upon morphological features of the flowers and fruits stem mainly from pollinating and fruit-dispersing birds, and these forces changed as new biomes (such as matorral, cloud forest, etc.) became available since the Tertiary. *Autochthonous*) new genera, represented in our study by the outgroup *Notantonha*, have small, insect-pollinated flowers. This ancesstral syndrome evolved into that found in bird-pollinated mistletoes such as *Ligaria* and *Tristerix* with large, tubular red flowers that are presently pollinated by hummingbirds. Members of the northern clade of *Tristerix* that inhabit high Andean or cloud forest biomes are also pollinated by *Diglossa*, that appears to forage based on corolla red-yellow banding and possibly fenestration. With the appearance of the dry matorral association in Chile, temperate-forest populations of *T. corymbosus* adapted to hosts in this new environment whose climate favored the development of yellow fruits at maturity. Some populations of this plesiospecie gave rise to mistletoes that could parasitize the cactus genera *Echinopsis* and *Eulychnia*. Subsequently, these populations became reproductively isolated from *T. corymbosus*, an isolation driven by...
behavior of the main seed-dispersing bird, Minus thenc. This new apospecies, T. aphyllus, is one of the most remarkable species in Loranthaceae, given its reduced vegetative morphology and physiology that approaches holoparasitism.
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