

1 Nickrent et al.—American Journal of Botany 2019—Appendix S4

2 Notes on how the morphological characters and character states were interpreted and scored for
3 the Santalales taxa used in this study

4 This Appendix provides some discussion of the characters and character states used. The
5 number of genera in both the molecular and morphological analyses are the same, i.e. 146 genera
6 of the total 163 for the order. The missing genera are as follows: *Douradoa* (Ximeniaceae),
7 *Cyne*, *Distrianthes*, *Lampas*, *Maracanthus*, *Oryctina*, *Panamanthus*, *Papuanthes*, *Pedistylis*,
8 *Peristethium*, *Phthirusa*, *Pusillanthus*, *Thaumasianthes*, *Trilepidea*, *Trithecanthera*
9 (Loranthaceae), *Gjellerupia* (Opiliaceae), and *Lacomucinaea* (Thesiaceae). One representative
10 per genus was used in the molecular analysis. This species was then used as a placeholder
11 representing all species for the genus. In the order, 56 genera are monospecific and 120 have 10
12 or fewer species. Thus for many genera, the description fits all or a large portion of the species
13 within that genus. In contrast, for large and speciose genera, one description must encompass the
14 large number of inflorescence types. The largest genera in the order are *Thesium* (346),
15 *Phoradendron* (248), *Dendrophthora* (126), *Psittacanthus* (121), *Viscum* (120), and *Amyema* (93
16 species). Much intra-generic variation in inflorescence morphology occurs in *Amyema*,
17 *Psittacanthus*, and *Thesium*, thus those descriptions must include polymorphic characters (i.e.
18 multiple character states).

19 Here we use the term inflorescence to mean **the basic unit where one or more flowers, on a**
20 **simple or compound shoot system (axis or axes), are arranged.** We considered using the term
21 “synflorescence” as defined by Troll (1964) and Weberling (1989), but we agree with Endress
22 (2010) that this term presents difficulties. Moreover, Stauffer (1963) suggested that it may best
23 apply only to herbaceous plants. We use the term conflorescence to describe an inflorescence
24 where the overall structure differs substantially from that of the individual branches of the

inflorescence. An example of a conflorescence is a thyse where the main axis is racemose whereas the lateral branches are cymes. We define partial inflorescences (also called unit or uniflorescences) as the basic subunits of an inflorescence which may be single flowers, monchasia, dichasia, etc.

Scoring the Matrix

The information present in Appendix S3 was examined and taxa scored for ten morphological characters. The resulting matrix then represents our assessment of primary homology for each character (Hawkins, Hughes, and Scotland, 1997), i.e. our initial hypothesis based on gross morphology (very rarely have anatomical or developmental studies been conducted). Seven of the ten characters are binary (0 and 1) whereas three characters were multistate (chrs. 3, 8, and 9). Polymorphisms (presence of more than one state in a cell) are indicated by the “&” (and) symbol, e.g. where “0&1” means the taxon has states 0 AND 1 (Maddison and Maddison, 2000, MacClade manual p. 228). Polymorphisms can derive from different sources:

a) variation exists between species and scoring at the genus level must accommodate this

b) male and female plants of the same species have different inflorescence types (e.g. in

Anthobolus and *Buckleya*)

c) different authors have described the same inflorescence in different ways (e.g. an umbel of

two flowers vs. a reduced raceme)

A combination of different states was used to describe particular inflorescences. For example, for character 3 (inflorescence form), *Nuytsia* has a conflorescence composed of a raceme of triads that was scored 1&3 (racemose & cymose), i.e. a type of thyse. In *Desmaria*, the conflorescence is an umbel of triads (scored 3&5). The argument could be made that “thyse”

should be given a separate character state from raceme, cyme and umbel, but that was not done here because it would separate taxa with common inflorescence elements.

The terms corymb or corymbiform have sometimes been used to describe inflorescences (although they are not mentioned at all in Endress 2010). Rickett (1955) points out the many varied uses of corymb but indicated that the consensus from several authors was “a raceme with the flowers carried up nearly to the same level, and opening centripetally”. This definition does not encompass branching of the laterals. Weberling (1989, p. 211) interprets this type as a modified panicle where all the flowers lie at the same level in an umbellate arrangement. According to Endress (pers. comm.), corymb is not defined by a specific ramification pattern but simply by the relative length of axes so that all flowers are about at the same level forming an umbrella-like structure. It can be racemose or paniculate. For some taxa (e.g. *Chaunochiton*), the inflorescence was thus scored as “paniculate”, but its relative *Harmandia*, described by Sleumer (1984a) as “racemose-corymbiform,” was scored as a raceme. For *Brachynema*, described by Sleumer (1984b) as “short ebracteate corymbs or subsessile fascicles”, the inflorescence was scored as fasciculate based on photos and illustrations.

We use the term partial inflorescence for a lateral subunit to the main inflorescence (= unit florescence, uniflorescence). Determining the type of partial inflorescence across all inflorescences in Santalales is not straightforward. Reductional and compounding processes that have taken place during the course of inflorescence evolution have resulted in morphologies that obscure partial inflorescence types. For example, a two-flowered condition may be a form of monochasium or it may be a dyad resulting from the loss of the terminal flower of a triad; these two conditions therefore do not exhibit primary homology.

Characters Used

- 71 1. Trios. (0) absent, (1) present. This character is the combination of characters 5 and 6;
72 however, we do not consider dichasia and triads to be synonymous (see separate definitions
73 of these terms, Appendix S10). Because of uncertainty as to which triads represent dichasia
74 and which do not (mainly in Loranthaceae), we took a liberal approach (any grouping of
75 three flowers) to address the hypothesis that dichasia are plesiomorphic in Santalales.
- 76 2. Inflorescence position. (0) axillary and (1) terminal, as well as the polymorphic condition (0/1)
77 where both occur.
- 78 3. Inflorescence form overall. (0) fascicles (see definition in Appendix S10), (1) racemes
79 (flowers with pedicels or peduncles - these may be described as monads), (2) spikes (flowers
80 sessile on the main axis), (3) cymes (including monochasial and dichasial types), (4)
81 panicles, (5) umbels, (6) capitula (heads), and glomerules (sessile flowers on an axis with
82 very short internodes).
- 83 4. Conflorescence. (0) absent, (1) present. This character compares the branching pattern at the
84 primary level with that at the secondary and higher orders. This character is intended to
85 extract more information out of character 2 where polymorphism sometimes reflects a
86 conflorescence (see discussion above about thyrses). This character does not capture all cases
87 of compound inflorescences (e.g. the compound racemes of *Coula* and *Santalum*) where the
88 branching pattern of the primary and secondary axes are the same.
- 89 5. Dichasia. (0) absent, (1) present. The dichasium is here considered a partial inflorescence, thus
90 it was not listed as a type under character 2 (basic inflorescence form). Several
91 morphological criteria were used to determine whether this partial inflorescence describes the
92 condition seen in various Santalales (see text). This information was used to scrutinize cases
93 listed by various authors, e.g. Polhill and Wiens (1998) for *Viscum*, and thereby determine
94 whether application of the term is appropriate. We consider a triad as a more generalized

partial inflorescence, separate from dichasium, and therefore scored it as a distinct character (no. 5).

6. Triads. (0) absent, (1) present. In the most inclusive meaning, a triad is a cluster of three flowers in no particular relation to each other. Like dichasium, it is a partial inflorescence that occurs laterally on a main inflorescence and indeed some types may be synonymous with dichasia (see text). The term has been applied by various workers to partial inflorescence seen in Loranthaceae and may also apply to *Agonandra* (Opiliaceae). As a partial inflorescence, the triad does not occur in Viscaceae.

7. Bract/bracteole presence. (0) absent, (1) present. In many genera of Santalales, a primary bract and two bracteoles subtend a flower. These structures are considered together here, a generalization necessary to avoid interpretive problems associated with losses and fusions of these organs.

8. Bract/bracteole persistence. (0) persistent, (1) caducous. This features was scored as a separate character from bract/bracteole presence following the arguments made in Hawkins, Hughes, and Scotland (1997). In the case of bract/bracteole absence, the character “?” was used.

9. Plant sexuality. (0) synoecious, (1) monoecious, (2) dioecious, (3) polygamous. For polygamous we include andromonoecy, androdioecy, gynomonoecy, gynodioecy, and trioecism. The exact form of plant sexuality for most members of Santalales has not been investigated. For the whole plant sexual condition, where all flowers are bisexual, the term synoecious is being used. This is to avoid using the term bisexual for both the whole plant (species) condition vs. the condition of an individual flower. Generally, synoecious means male and female organs in (or on) the same structure, and in that sense it is synonymous with bisexual when the structure being considered is the receptacle. A desirable feature of this

term is that it has the same suffix (-ecious) as the other whole plant sexuality terms
monoecious and dioecious.

10. Flower sex. (0) bisexual, (1) unisexual. This character is obviously related to plant sexuality,
but presents the information in binary form. For polygamous plants, the scoring would be
0&1.

Literature Cited

ENDRESS, P. K. 2010. Disentangling confusions in inflorescence morphology: patterns and
diversity of reproductive shoot ramification in angiosperms. *Journal of Systematics and
Evolution* 48: 225–239.

HAWKINS, J. A., C. E. HUGHES, AND R. W. SCOTLAND. 1997. Primary homology assessment,
characters and character states. *Cladistics* 13: 275–283.

MADDISON, D. R., AND W. P. MADDISON. 2000. MacClade 4: Analysis of phylogeny and
character evolution, version 4.0.

POLHILL, R., AND D. WIENS. 1998. Mistletoes of Africa. The Royal Botanic Gardens Kew,
Richmond-Surrey, UK.

RICKETT, H. W. 1955. Materials for a dictionary of botanical terms: III. Inflorescences. *Bulletin
of the Torrey Botanical Club* 82: 419–445.

SLEUMER, H. 1984a. Olacaceae. In C. G. G. J. Van Steenis [ed.], *Flora Malesiana*, vol. Series I,
1-29. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague, Boston, London.

SLEUMER, H. O. 1984b. Olacaceae, *Flora Neotropica Monograph* No 38, 1-159. New York
Botanical Garden, Bronx, New York.

STAUFFER, H. U. 1963. Gestaltwandel bei Blütenständen von Dicotyledonen. *Botanische
Jahrbücher für Systematik* 82: 216-251.

- 142 TROLL, W. 1964. Die Infloreszenzen. Typologie und Stellung im Aufbau des
143 Vegetationskörpers, Erster Band. Gustav Fischer Verlag, Jena, Germany.
- 144 WEBERLING, F. 1989. Morphology of flowers and inflorescences. Cambridge University Press,
145 Cambridge.